

Problem Set 9 (due 13.12.2011)

Questions

- (Q1) What is the advantage of introducing creation and annihilation operators? In other words: why do we need a *second quantization*?
- (Q2) Why is it called *second quantization*?
- (Q3) What is (anti-) ferromagnetism and what has the Heisenberg exchange operator [calculated below in (9.3)] to do with it?

(9.1) Repetition: Bosonic creation and annihilation operators (3 points)

In the lecture we mostly dealt with fermionic systems so far. Now let  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{b}^\dagger$  be bosonic annihilation and creation operators,

$$[\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = \hat{1}$$

and

$$\hat{n} = \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}$$

the occupation number operator,  $\hat{n}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle$ . Show that

- (i)  $[\hat{b}^q, \hat{n}] = q\hat{b}^q$  and  $[\hat{b}^{\dagger q}, \hat{n}] = -q\hat{b}^{\dagger q}$ , with  $q$  a positive integer, and  
(ii)  $\hat{b}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$  and  $\hat{b}^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$ .

(9.2) Constructing a spin algebra with annihilation and creation operators (3 points)

$\hat{a}_\pm^\dagger$  and  $\hat{a}_\pm$  create and annihilate a Fermion of spin 1/2 at a given lattice site, respectively. Using (anti-) commutator relations, show that  $\hat{s}_x, \hat{s}_y, \hat{s}_z$  defined via

$$\hat{s}_\pm = \hat{s}_x \pm i\hat{s}_y = \hbar \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger \hat{a}_\mp$$

together with

$$\hat{s}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2}(\hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_-), \quad \hat{n}_\pm = \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger \hat{a}_\pm$$

satisfy indeed the angular momentum relations

$$[\hat{s}_x, \hat{s}_y] = -\frac{\hbar}{i}\hat{s}_z \quad (\text{and cyclic}),$$

as claimed in the lecture.

(9.3) Heisenberg exchange operator (4 points)

Show that

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_1 \neq n_2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} \hat{a}_{n_1 m_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n_2 m_2}^\dagger K_{n_1 n_2} \hat{a}_{n_1 m_2} \hat{a}_{n_2 m_1}$$

can indeed be written as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^A = - \sum_{n_1 \neq n_2} K_{n_1 n_2} \left( \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{n_1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{n_2} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{1} \right),$$

as claimed in the lecture.