Impact of volatiles of the rhizobacteria Serratia odorifera on the moss Physcomitrella patens

Marco Kai and Birgit Piechulla* University of Rostock; Institute for Biological Sciences; Rostock, Germany

Key words: *Physcomitrella patens*, *Serratia odorifera*, volatile emission, rhizobacteria, aboveground, belowground, volatile network

Submitted: 01/26/10

Accepted: 01/26/10

Previously published online: www.landesbioscience.com/journals/psb/ article/11340

*Correspondence to: Birgit Piechulla; Email: birgit.piechulla@uni-rostock.de

Addendum to: Wenke K, Kai M, Piechulla B. Belowground volatiles facilitate interactions between plant roots and soil organisms. Planta 2010; 231:499–506; PMID: 20012987; DOI: 10.1007/s00425-009-1076-2.

Volatiles are important infochemicals acting aboveground as well as belowground between organisms. In order to understand the complex volatile network of an entire ecosystem, the habitat at the border between the atmosphere and the soil has to be considered. Mosses are the dominant colonists of this habitat. Here we tested the reaction of the moss Physcomitrella patens upon exposure to rhizobacterial volatiles. In a closed test system, when CO₂ is a dominant component of the bacterial volatile mixture, P. patens growth was promoted, while in the natural-like open test system volatiles with negative influences possess their effects resulting in growth inhibitions of the moss. Growth retardation is less pronounced when the volatiles were applied in a later stage of development of the moss.

The typical smell in a forest is primarily due to volatile emission of bacteria. For example, the volatile terpenoid geosmin is emitted by Streptomyces species and is responsible for the characteristic earthy and muddy bouquet.^{1,2} Many other bacteria contribute also to the scents in the atmosphere which can be recognized by various animals including humans and also by plants.3 Volatiles are especially suitable to act as mediators between organisms because they can be detected in small quantities and can diffuse over long distances. They have a small molecular mass (less than 300 dalton) and a high vapor pressure (0.01 kPa or higher at 20°C), and tend to be lipophilic rather than hydrophilic. Together, these features support evaporation. These infochemicals do not

only act aboveground, but diffuse into the air-filled pores of the apparent unsuitable or inappropriate soil.^{4,5} Evidence increases that belowground volatile interactions are similarly complex as aboveground.⁶⁻⁸ To understand the entire integrity of an ecosystem, volatiles in both habitats have to be considered.⁹

Mosses are small plants that live at the border of soil and atmosphere. They therefore might be appropriate test organisms to study volatile influences in this remarkable habitat. Bryophyta are one of the oldest groups of land plants which colonize diverse habitats.^{10,11} Their life cycle is dominated by a photoautotrophic haploid gametophytic generation that supports a relatively simple and mainly heterotrophic diploid sporophyte. The haploid gametophyte appears in distinct developmental stages, the protonema and the game-tophore or leafy shoot. Mosses are ideal model systems. They possess relative simple developmental patterns, they are suitable for cell lineage analysis, they facilitate genetic approches e.g., homologous recombination, and they respond similarly to plant growth factors and environmental cues as higher land plants.^{12,13} Physcomitrella patens is a monoecious moss (i.e., both sex organs are present on the same individual) that can simply be grown in the lab and it was extensively studied in the past.

In order to study bacterial volatiles acting on *P. patens*, the moss was placed in one compartment of bipartite Petri dishes. After 10 days of incubation the other compartment was inoculated with *Serratia odorifera* 4Rx13 (2–3 x 10^7 cell forming units). In the control experiment no



Figure 1. Co-cultivation of *Physcomitrella patens* with *Serratia odorifera* 4Rx13. (A) After three weeks of co-cultivation with *S. odorifera* in parafilmsealed bipartite Petri dishes dry weight of *P. patens* was determined. The moss was 10 days old at the beginning of co-cultivation. Left: *P. patens*; middle: *P. patens* and *S. odorifera*; right: graphic presentation of the dry weight measurements. (B) Determination of the dry weight measurements in parafilmsealed tripartite Petri dishes. Left: (1) *P. patens*, (2) *P. patens* and 0.1 mM Ba(OH)₂ solution, (3) *P. patens* and *S. odorifera*, (4) *P. patens*, *S. odorifera* and 0.1 mM Ba(OH)₂. Right: graphic presentation of *P. patens* dry weight measured in condition (1–4). (C) Determination of dry weight in non-sealed bipartite Petri dish. 10 day old *P. patens* were co-cultivated for three weeks with *S. odorifera*. (D) Determination of dry weight in non-sealed bipartite Petri dish. 19 day old *P. patens* were co-cultivated for three weeks with *S. odorifera*.

bacteria were applied. Only volatiles can diffuse between the compartments. After three weeks of co-cultivation in parafilm-sealed Petri dishes, the dry weight of the moss was determined (Fig. 1A). Compared to the control experiment the moss grew much better during co-cultivation with the rhizobacteria, resulting in a 2.5-fold higher dry weight. This growth promotion is due to the accumulation of CO₂, since in a separated experiment it could be shown that the addition of Ba(OH), captured CO, and consequently the CO₂ amount in the headspace of the Petri dish decreased. These lower CO, levels resulted in a growth reduction of P. patens (Fig. 1B; 1 vs. 2 and 3 vs. 4, respectively). A ten-fold increase of CO, within one day due to the emission of the metabolic end product CO₂ of S. odorifera was previously determined in parafilmsealed Petri dishes.14 In an alternative approach using non-sealed Petri dishes ('open test system'), which also reflects the natural system more closely, CO₂ did not accumulate and ambient CO₂ concentrations were present in the Petri dish.¹⁴ Co-cultivation of *P. patens* and *S. odorifera* under such conditions revealed strong growth inhibitions of P. patens reaching only 25% of the dry weight compared to the control (Fig. 1C). In another experiment the moss grew for 19 days in the Petri dish before the rhizobacteria were applied. In this case the growth of P. patens was only slightly inhibited (ca. 20%)

(Fig. 1D). The comparison of the results of experiments presented in Figure 1C vs. D indicates that the strength of the volatile effects depends of the developmental stage of the moss.

These experiments showed that P. patens is a useful test organism, reacting to bacterial volatiles by growth alterations. Growth promotions were observed when CO₂ was the dominant volatile in the mixture, while under ambient CO₂ conditions the effects of inhibitory volatiles came to the fore. These growth inhibitions were more pronounced if young plants of the moss were fumigated by the bacteria. At present the individual components of the volatile mixture of S. odorifera are analysed which then will be applied individually to determine their bioactivity. Here, P. patens was successfully used as a volatile perceiving organism. In the future, it will also be of interest to study the ability of volatile emanation of moss. Furthermore, to understand the volatile network at the border of the soil to atmosphere, more natural-like test systems have to be developed, in which the robust moss P. patens can be used as an indicator organism.

References

- Schöller CEG, Gürtler H, Pedersen R, Molin S, Wilkins K. Volatile metabolites from Actinomycetes. J Agricult Food Chem 2002; 50:2615-21.
- Gust B, Challis GL, Fowler K, Kieser T, Chater KF. PCR-targeted Streptomyces gene replacement identifies a protein domain needed for biosynthesis of the sesquiterpene soil odor geosmin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100:1541-6.

- 3. Schulz S, Dickschat JS. Bacterial volatiles: the smell of small organisms. Nat Prod Rep 2007; 24:814-42.
- Aochi YO, Farmer WJ. Impact of soil microstructure on the molecular transport dynamics of 1,2-dichlorethane. Geoderma 2005; 127:137-53.
- Asensio D, Penuelas J, Filella I, Llusia J. On-line screening of soil VOCs exchange responses to moisture, temperature and root presence. Plant Soil 2007; 291:249-61.
- Steeghs M, Bais HP, de Gouw J, Goldan P, Kuster W, Northway M, et al. Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry as a new tool for real time analysis of root-secreted volatile organic compounds in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2004; 135:47-58.
- Rasman S, Köllner TG, Degenhardt J, Hiltpold I, Toepfer S, Kuhlmann U, et al. Recruitment of entomopathogenic nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots. Nature 2005; 434:732-7.
- Wenke K, Kai M, Piechulla B. Belowground volatiles facilitate interactions between plant roots and soil organisms. Planta 2010; 231:499-506.
- Laothawornkitkul J, Taylor JE, Pail ND, Hewitt CN. Biogenic volatile organic compounds in the earth system. New Phytol 2009; 183:27-51.
- Reski R. Development, genetics and molecular biology of mosses. Bot Acta 1998; 111:1-15.
- 11. Schaefer DG, Zryd JP. The moss *Physcomitrella patens*, now and then. Plant Physiol 2001; 127:1430-8.
- Frank W, Ratnadewi D, Reski R. *Physcomitrella* patens is highly tolerant against drought, salt and osmotic stress. Planta 2005; 220:384-94.
- Cove D, Bezanilla M, Harries P, Quatrano R. Mosses as model systems for the study of metabolism and development. Ann Rev Plant Biol 2006; 57:497-520.
- Kai M, Piechulla B. Plant growth promotions due to rhizobacterial volatiles—an effect of CO₂? FEBS Letts 2009; 583:3473-7.
- Baldwin IT, Halitschke R, Paschold A, von Dahl CC, Preston CA. Volatile signaling in plant-plant interactions: 'Talking trees' in the genomics era. Science 2006; 311:812-5.